Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 16(b)(4) and Local Rules 6-2 and 7-12, Plaintiff NetChoice and Defendant Rob Bonta, in his official capacity as Attorney General of California (together, "the Parties"), hereby stipulate to further modify the Scheduling Order Re First-Phase Discovery, ECF 71. The Parties stipulate to extend the deadline to submit a joint statement with the Parties' proposal(s) for second-phase discovery to 21 days after the Ninth Circuit decides NetChoice's petition for panel rehearing and rehearing en banc, which is currently pending before that court.

This Court previously ordered the following modifications in this case:

- On November 15, 2024, the Court granted as modified the Parties' stipulation to set a briefing schedule and hearing on NetChoice's motion for preliminary injunction, ECF 15;
- On January 9, 2025, the Court granted as modified the Parties' stipulation to continue the status conference, ECF 54;
- On March 13, 2025, the Court granted in part and denied in part NetChoice's motion to stay proceedings pending appeal, ECF 65;
- On April 9, 2025, the Court entered a Scheduling Order Re First-Phase Discovery,
 ECF 71; and
- On April 28, the Court granted the Parties' stipulation to modify the Court's scheduling order to move all expert discovery to the second phase of discovery, ECF 73.

The Parties stipulate that good cause exists to extend the deadline to submit a joint statement with the Parties' proposal(s) for second-phase discovery to 21 days after the Ninth Circuit decides NetChoice's petition for panel rehearing and rehearing en banc for the following reasons:

WHEREAS, the Court has ordered that discovery "may proceed on a limited basis" while NetChoice's appeal is pending at the Ninth Circuit, ECF 65;

WHEREAS, in response to the Court's order of March 31, 2025, ECF 69, the Parties jointly stated that, 21 days after the Ninth Circuit's merits decision on NetChoice's pending appeal, the Parties would file a statement in this Court with their proposal for whether and when to begin the second phase of discovery, ECF 70;

1	WHEREAS, on April 9, 2025, the Court entered the Scheduling Order Re First-Phase
2	Discovery. ECF 71. The Court ordered that "[w]ithin 21 days of a decision in the pending appeal,
3	the Parties shall file a joint statement with a proposal for second-phase discovery," id.;
4	WHEREAS, on April 28, 2025, the Court granted the Parties' stipulation to delay all
5	expert discovery to phase two and modified the scheduling order accordingly, ECF 73;
6	WHEREAS, on September 9, 2025, the Ninth Circuit issued its merits decision in
7	NetChoice's appeal, ECF 74;
8	WHEREAS, on September 23, 2025, NetChoice petitioned for panel rehearing and
9	rehearing en banc of the Ninth Circuit's decision, see NetChoice v. Bonta, No. 25-146 at ECF 71
10	(9th Cir. Sept. 23, 2025) (Pet. for Rehearing):
11	WHEREAS, deferring the Parties' proposal for second-phase discovery until after the
12	Ninth Circuit decides NetChoice's pending petition for rehearing would serve the interests of
13	efficiency and economy;
14	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED, by and among the Parties, that the
15	deadline to submit a joint statement with the Parties' proposal for second-phase discovery should
16	be extended to 21 days after the Ninth Circuit decides NetChoice's pending petition for panel
17	rehearing and rehearing en banc.
18	
19	Dated: September 24, 2025
20	ROB BONTA Attorney General of California
21	LARA HADDAD Supervising Attorney General JENNIFER E. ROSENBERG
22	SHIWON CHOE
23	Deputy Attorneys General
24	/s/ Christopher J. Kissel CHRISTOPHER J. KISSEL, State Bar No. 333937 Deputy Attorney General
25	Attorneys for Defendant Rob Bonta, in his official capacity as Attorney General of California
26	capacity as Attorney General of California
27	
28	

Document 76